

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/736,608	MAEHARA, FUYUKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hal I. Kaplan	2836	

**All Participants:**

(1) Hal I. Kaplan.

(2) Joel Armstrong.

**Date of Interview:** 6 September 2006

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** Claims 1, 3, and 5-8 allowed.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 4:45 p.m.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant confirmed that claims 1, 5, and 7 correctly claimed the average value calculation method and there were no informalities.*


  

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRIAN SIRCUS**

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 8800**

---

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

---

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)